

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/937,739	Applicant(s) AMAGAI ET AL.	
	Examiner Q. Janice Li, M.D.	Art Unit 1633	

All Participants:

(1) Q. Janice Li, PTO.

(2) Noreen Connolly, Appl. Rep.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 December 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner called to propose deleting "activation" in line 10, and insert a priority claim to the first sentence of the specification. Ms. Connolly agreed to make such changes by an examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Q. JANICE LI, M.D.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)